IUCLID

05 SEP -2 M 9: 04

Data Set

Existing Chemical

CAS No.

: ID: 6294-34-4 : 6294-34-4

EINECS Name

: bis(2-chloroethyl) 2-chloroethylphosphonate

EC No.

: 228-557-7

Molecular Formula

: C6H12Cl3O3P

Producer related part

Company

: Rhodia UK limited

Creation date : 25.08.2005

Substance related part

Company

: Rhodia UK limited

Creation date

: 25.08.2005

Status Memo

Printing date

: 25.08.2005

Revision date

.

Date of last update

25.08.2005

Number of pages

: 38

Chapter (profile)
Reliability (profile)

: Chapter: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10

(profile) : Reliability: without reliability, 1, 2, 3, 4

Flags (profile) : Flags: without flag, confidential, non confidential, WGK (DE), TA-Luft (DE), Material Safety Dataset, Risk Assessment, Directive 67/548/EEC, SIDS

ld 6294-34-4 Date 25.08.2005

1.0.1 APPLICANT AND COMPANY INFORMATION

Type Name : manufacturer : Rhodia Inc. : Ian BARTLETT

Contact person Date

Street Town

: 259 Prospect Plains Road : 08512 Cranbury, NJ

Country Phone Telefax

: United States : 609-860-3913 : 609-860-0076

Telex

Cedex

Email

: ian.bartlett@us.rhodia.com

Homepage

Source

: Rhodia Consumer Specialties LTD Oldbury, West Midlands

25.08.2005

1.0.2 LOCATION OF PRODUCTION SITE, IMPORTER OR FORMULATOR

1.0.3 IDENTITY OF RECIPIENTS

1.0.4 DETAILS ON CATEGORY/TEMPLATE

1.1.0 SUBSTANCE IDENTIFICATION

IUPAC Name

: Bis(2-chloroethyl) (2-chloroethyl)phosphonate

Smiles Code

: O=P(CCCI)(OCCCI)OCCCI

Molecular formula

: C6 H12 Cl3 O3 P

Molecular weight

: 269.49

Petrol class

Source 04.11.2003 : Rhodia Consumer Specialties LTD Oldbury, West Midlands

1.1.1 GENERAL SUBSTANCE INFORMATION

Purity type

: typical for marketed substance

Substance type Physical status : organic : liquid

Purity Colour Odour

: ca. 55 - 70 % w/w : Clear, colourless : Characteristic odour

Source 04.11.2003 : Rhodia Consumer Specialties LTD Oldbury, West Midlands

1.1.2 SPECTRA PRESENT NOTES OF THE PROPERTY OF

ld 6294-34-4 Date 25.08.2005

1.2 SYNONYMS AND TRADENAMES

(2-chloroethyl)phosphonic acid bis(2-chloroethyl) ester

Source

: Rhodia Consumer Specialties LTD Oldbury, West Midlands

05.11.2003

Antiblaze 78

Remark

Tradename for the manufactured product.

Source

Rhodia Consumer Specialties LTD Oldbury, West Midlands

26.07.2005

Bis-chloroethyl 2-chloroethanephosphonate

Source

: Rhodia Consumer Specialties LTD Oldbury, West Midlands

05.11.2003

BISCEP

Remark

Tradename for the manufactured product.

Source

Rhodia Consumer Specialties LTD Oldbury, West Midlands

26.07.2005

Ethanol, 2-chloro-, (2-chloroethyl)phosphonate (2:1)

Source

: Rhodia Consumer Specialties LTD Oldbury, West Midlands

05.11.2003

Phosphonic acid, (2-chloroethyl)-, bis(2-chloroethyl) ester

: Rhodia Consumer Specialties LTD Oldbury, West Midlands

04.11.2003

1.3 A MPURITIES Explain A Section 1. The Artist Annual Annual Artist An

Purity

typical for marketed substance

CAS-No EC-No

58823-09-9 261-459-2

EINECS-Name

: bis(2-chloroethyl)

[2-[[(2-chloroethoxy)(2-chloroethyl)phosphinyl]oxy]ethyl]phosphonate

Molecular formula

Value

: C10 H20 Cl4 O6 P2

: ca. 35 - 40 % w/w

Remark

: CAS name : Phosphonic acid.

typical for marketed substance

(2-(((2-chloroethoxy)(2-chloroethyl)phosphinyl)oxy)ethyl)-.

bis(2-chloroethyl) ester

SMILE code: O=P(CCCI)(OCCCI)OCCP(=O)(OCCCI)OCCCI

MW = 440.02

Named BISCEP dimer as opposed to the main component BISCEP

Source

: Rhodia Consumer Specialties LTD Oldbury, West Midlands

26.11.2003

Purity

CAS-No 107-06-2 EC-No 203-458-1

EINECS-Name

1,2-dichloroethane

Molecular formula

C2 H4 Cl2

ld 6294-34-4 Date 25.08.2005

Value

: < .1 % w/w

Remark

: In site product can contain ca. 0.3% w/w.

Source

: Rhodia Consumer Specialties LTD Oldbury, West Midlands

12.12.2003

Purity

: typical for marketed substance

CAS-No **EC-No EINECS-Name** : 107-07-3 : 203-459-7 : 2-chloroethanol : C2 H5 CI O

Molecular formula Value

: ca. .2 % w/w

Remark Source

: In site product can contain ca. 0.3% w/w.

: Rhodia Consumer Specialties LTD Oldbury, West Midlands

12.12.2003

1.4 ADDITIVES

1.5 TOTAL QUANTITY

1.6.1 LABELLING

1.6.2 CLASSIFICATION

1.6.3 PACKAGING

1.7 USE PATTERN

1.7.1 DETAILED USE PATTERN

1.7.2 METHODS OF MANUFACTURE

1.8 REGULATORY MEASURES

1.8.1 OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE LIMIT VALUES

1.8.2 ACCEPTABLE RESIDUES LEVELS

1.8.3 WATER POLLUTION

ld 6294-34-4 Date 25.08.2005

1.8.4 MAJOR ACCIDENT HAZARDS

- 1.8.5 AIR POLLUTION
- 1.8.6 LISTINGS E.G. CHEMICAL INVENTORIES
- 1.9.1 DEGRADATION/TRANSFORMATION PRODUCTS
- 1.9.2 COMPONENTS
- 1.10 SOURCE OF EXPOSURE
- 1.11 ADDITIONAL REMARKS

1.12 LAST LITERATURE SEARCH

Type of search Chapters covered : Internal and External

: 2

Date of search

: 21.10.2003

Source

05.11.2003

: Rhodia Consumer Specialties LTD Oldbury, West Midlands

Type of search

: Internal and External

Chapters covered Date of search

: 3, 4, 5 : 21.10.2003

Source

05.11.2003

: Rhodia Consumer Specialties LTD Oldbury, West Midlands

1.13 REVIEWS

ld 6294-34-4 Date 25.08.2005

MELTING POINT 2.1

Value

28 °C

Sublimation

Method

Year **GLP**

Test substance

no data

Source

Rhodia Consumer Specialties LTD Oldbury, West Midlands

Reliability

(4) not assignable

Cited in Rhodia MSDS Critical study for SIDS endpoint

Flag 06.11.2003

Value **Sublimation** 37 °C

Method

Year GLP

Test substance

no data

Source Test substance Rhodia Consumer Specialties LTD Oldbury, West Midlands Value refers to Bis(2-chloroethyl) (2-chloroethyl)phosphonate

CAS: 6294-34-4

Reliability

: (2) valid with restrictions

Cited in standard data source (Dictionary of Organophosphorus

compounds)

Flag

Critical study for SIDS endpoint

06.11.2003

BOILING POINT

Value

170 - 172 °C at 6.7 hPa

Decomposition

Method

Year

GLP

no data

Source

Rhodia Consumer Specialties LTD Oldbury, West Midlands

Test substance

Test substance

Value refers to Bis(2-chloroethyl) (2-chloroethyl)phosphonate CAS: 6294-34-4

Reliability

(2) valid with restrictions

Cited in standard data source (Dictionary of Organophosphorus

compounds)

Flag

Value

Critical study for SIDS endpoint

06.11.2003

339 °C at 1013 hPa

Method

Estimation by MPBPWIN programme, v1.41, US-EPA/Syracuse Research

Source

Rhodia Consumer Specialties LTD Oldbury, West Midlands Estimation performed on molecular structure of bis(2-chloroethyl)

Test substance

2-chloroethylphosphonate (BISCEP monomer).

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

Accepted calculation method

ld 6294-34-4 **Date** 25.08.2005

Flag

: Critical study for SIDS endpoint

06.11.2003

Value

: 480 °C at 1013 hPa

Method

Estimation by MPBPWIN programme, v1.41, US-EPA/Syracuse Research

Source

Test substance

Rhodia Consumer Specialties LTD Oldbury, West Midlands
 Estimation performed on molecular structure of bis(2-chloroethyl)

[2-[[(2-chloroethoxy)(2-chloroethyl)phosphinyl]oxy]ethyl]phosphonate

(BISCEP dimer).

Reliability

(2) valid with restrictions
Accepted calculation method

Flag

: Critical study for SIDS endpoint

06.11.2003

2.3 DENSITY Fig. 4. A Section of the second of the second

Type : density

Value

1.41 g/cm3 at 25 °C

Method Year

.

GLP

:

Test substance

: no data

Source

: Rhodia Consumer Specialties LTD Oldbury, West Midlands

Reliability

(4) not assignable Cited in Rhodia MSDS

Flag

Critical study for SIDS endpoint

06.11.2003

Type Value relative density

Method

1.39 at 20 °C

Menic

Year

GLP

1.00 0.20 0

Test substance

: no data

Method

Relative density at 20 °C compared to water at 4 °C.

Source

Rhodia Consumer Specialties LTD Oldbury, West Midlands

Test substance

Value refers to Bis(2-chloroethyl) (2-chloroethyl)phosphonate

Reliability

(2) valid with restrictions

CAS: 6294-34-4

Cited in standard data source (Dictionary of Organophosphorus

compounds)

Flag

Critical study for SIDS endpoint

06.11.2003

2.3.1 GRANULOMETRY

2.4 VAPOUR PRESSURE

Value

.000147 hPa at 25 °C

Method

: Estimation by MPBPWIN programme, v1.41, US-EPA/Syracuse Research

Source

: Rhodia Consumer Specialties LTD Oldbury, West Midlands

Test substance

Estimation performed on molecular structure of bis(2-chloroethyl)

2-chloroethylphosphonate (BISCEP monomer).

ld 6294-34-4 Date 25.08.2005

Reliability

(2) valid with restrictions

Accepted calculation method

Flag

09.12.2003

Critical study for SIDS endpoint

Value

.0000000275 hPa at 25 °C

Method

Estimation by MPBPWIN programme, v1.41, US-EPA/Syracuse Research

Source Test substance Rhodia Consumer Specialties LTD Oldbury, West Midlands

Estimation performed on molecular structure of bis(2-chloroethyl) [2-[[(2-chloroethoxy)(2-chloroethyl)phosphinyl]oxy]ethyl]phosphonate

(BISCEP dimer).

Reliability

(2) valid with restrictions

Accepted calculation method

Flag

Critical study for SIDS endpoint

06.11.2003

PARTITION COEFFICIENT 2.5

Partition coefficient

Log pow

octanol-water 1.65 at 25 °C

pH value

Method

Estimation by KOWWIN programme, v1.67, US-EPA/Syracuse Research.

Source Test substance Rhodia Consumer Specialties LTD Oldbury, West Midlands Estimation performed on molecular structure of bis(2-chloroethyl)

2-chloroethylphosphonate (BISCEP monomer).

Reliability

(2) valid with restrictions

Accepted calculation method Critical study for SIDS endpoint

Flag

09.12.2003

octanol-water

Log pow

pH value

1.47 at 25 °C

Partition coefficient

Method

Estimation by KOWWIN programme, v1.67, US-EPA/Syracuse Research.

Source Test substance

Rhodia Consumer Specialties LTD Oldbury, West Midlands Estimation performed on molecular structure of bis(2-chloroethyl)

[2-[[(2-chloroethoxy)(2-chloroethyl)phosphinyl]oxy]ethyl]phosphonate

(BISCEP dimer).

Reliability

(2) valid with restrictions

Accepted calculation method

Flag

09.12.2003

: Critical study for SIDS endpoint

2.6.1 SOLUBILITY IN DIFFERENT MEDIA

Solubility in

Water

Value

1.5 other: % w/w at 20 °C

pH value

concentration

at °C

Temperature effects Examine different pol.

pKa

at 25 °C

Description

Stable

ld 6294-34-4 Date 25.08.2005

Rhodia Consumer Specialties LTD Oldbury, West Midlands Source

Reliability (4) not assignable

Cited in Rhodia MSDS

06.11.2003

Critical study for SIDS endpoint

Solubility in Water

Value 12.751 g/l at 25 °C

pH value

concentration at °C

Temperature effects

Examine different pol.

pKa at 25 °C

Description

Stable

Estimation by WATERNT programme, v1.01, US-EPA/Syracuse Research.

Source Rhodia Consumer Specialties LTD Oldbury, West Midlands Test substance

Estimation performed on molecular structure of bis(2-chloroethyl)

2-chloroethylphosphonate (BISCEP monomer). Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

Accepted calculation method

Flag : Critical study for SIDS endpoint

06.11.2003

Method

Solubility in Water

Value 24.7 g/l at 25 °C

:

:

pH value

concentration at °C

Temperature effects

Examine different pol.

pKa

at 25 °C

Description

Stable

Method Estimation by WATERNT programme, v1.01, US-EPA/Syracuse Research.

Source Rhodia Consumer Specialties LTD Oldbury, West Midlands **Test substance** Estimation performed on molecular structure of bis(2-chloroethyl)

[2-[[(2-chloroethoxy)(2-chloroethyl)phosphinyl]oxy]ethyl]phosphonate

(BISCEP dimer).

Reliability (2) valid with restrictions

Accepted calculation method

06.11.2003

Flag : Critical study for SIDS endpoint

2.6.2 SURFACE TENSION

2.7 FLASH POINT

Value 143 °C Type closed cup

Method

Year

GLP

: no data

Test substance

Source : Rhodia Consumer Specialties LTD Oldbury, West Midlands

Reliability (4) not assignable

ld 6294-34-4 Date 25.08.2005

Cited in Rhodia MSDS

06.11.2003

AUTO FLAMMABILITY

FLAMMABILITY

2.10 EXPLOSIVE PROPERTIES

2.11 OXIDIZING PROPERTIES

2.12 DISSOCIATION CONSTANT

2.13 VISCOSITY

Value

200 - mPa s (dynamic) at 10 °C

Result

Method

Year

GLP

: no data

Test substance

: Rhodia Consumer Specialties LTD Oldbury, West Midlands

Source Reliability

: (4) not assignable

Cited in Rhodia MSDS

06.11.2003

Value Result 500 - mPa s (dynamic) at 0 °C

Method

Year

GLP

Test substance

Source

: Rhodia Consumer Specialties LTD Oldbury, West Midlands

Reliability

(4) not assignable Cited in Rhodia MSDS

06.11.2003

2.14 ADDITIONAL REMARKS

ld 6294-34-4 **Date** 25.08.2005

3.1.1 PHOTODEGRADATION

Type

air

Light source

Light spectrum

nm

Relative intensity

based on intensity of sunlight

INDIRECT PHOTOLYSIS

Sensitizer

OH

Conc. of sensitizer

: 1500000 molecule/cm³

Rate constant

.000000000154317 cm³/(molecule*sec)

Degradation

50 % after 8.3 hour(s)

Deg. product Method

other (calculated)

Year

GLP

:

Test substance

Test substance

other TS

Method

Estimation by AOPWIN programme, v1.91, US-EPA/Syracuse Research.

Source

Rhodia Consumer Specialties LTD Oldbury, West Midlands

.

Estimation performed on molecular structure of bis(2-chloroethyl) 2-chloroethylphosphonate (BISCEP monomer).

Reliability

(2) valid with restrictions

Accepted calculation method

Flag

Critical study for SIDS endpoint

26.11.2003

Type

air

Light source

._._

Light spectrum

based on intensity of sunlight

Relative intensity INDIRECT PHOTOLYSIS

Sensitizer

ОН

Conc. of sensitizer

1500000 molecule/cm³

Rate constant

.000000000474608 cm3/(molecule*sec)

Degradation

50 % after 2.7 hour(s)

Deg. product

00 70 and 2.7 Hour

Method

Year

other (calculated)

GLP

Test substance

other TS

Method

Source

Estimation by AOPWIN programme, v1.91, US-EPA/Syracuse Research. Rhodia Consumer Specialties LTD Oldbury, West Midlands

Test substance

Estimation performed on molecular structure of bis(2-chloroethyl)

Estimation performed on molecular structure of bis(2-chloroethyl) [2-[[(2-chloroethoxy)(2-chloroethyl)phosphinyl]oxy]ethyl]phosphonate

(BISCEP dimer).

Reliability

(2) valid with restrictions
Accepted calculation method

26.11.2003

3.1.2 STABILITY IN WATER

3.1.3 STABILITY IN SOIL

ld 6294-34-4 **Date** 25.08.2005

3.2.1 MONITORING DATA

3.2.2 FIELD STUDIES

3.3.1 TRANSPORT BETWEEN ENVIRONMENTAL COMPARTMENTS

Type : fugacity model level l

Media: other: air - water - soil - sedimentAir: % (Fugacity Model Level I)Water: 96.1 % (Fugacity Model Level I)Soil: 3.8 % (Fugacity Model Level I)Biota: % (Fugacity Model Level II/III)Soil: % (Fugacity Model Level II/III)

Method : other: calculation

Year

Method : Fugacity model Level I, Trent University, Canada, V 2.11 (1999).

Based on: Mackay, Donald (1991), "Multimedia Environmental Models, The

Fugacity Approach".

Result : INPUT DATA:

Molecular mass (g/mol) = 269.49 Data temperature (°C) = 25

Log Kow = 1.65

Water solubility (g/m3) = 12 750 Vapour pressure (Pa) = 1.47E-02

Melting point (°C) = 37

RESULTS: Air (%): 0.006 Water (%): 96.1 Soil (%): 3.8 Sediment (%): 0.085

Suspended sediment(%): 0.003

Aerosols (%): 3.74E-05

Fish (%): 2.15E-04

Source : Rhodia Consumer Specialties LTD Oldbury, West Midlands

: Calculation performed on bis(2-chloroethyl) 2-chloroethylphosphonate

(BISCEP monomer).

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

Accepted calculation method : Critical study for SIDS endpoint

09.12.2003

Flag

Test substance

Type : fugacity model level III

 Media
 : other: air - water - soil - sediment

 Air
 : % (Fugacity Model Level I)

 Water
 : % (Fugacity Model Level I)

 Soil
 : % (Fugacity Model Level II/III)

 Biota
 : % (Fugacity Model Level II/III)

 Soil
 : % (Fugacity Model Level II/III)

Method : other: calculation

Year

Method : Fugacity model Level III, Trent University, Canada, V 2.70 (2002).

Based on: Mackay, Donald (2001), "Multimedia Environmental Models, The

Fugacity Approach - Second Edition".

Result : INPUT DATA:

ld 6294-34-4 **Date** 25.08.2005

Default environment

Molecular mass (g/mol) = 269.49 Data temperature (°C) = 25

Log Kow = 1.65

Water solubility (g/m3) = 12 750 Vapour pressure (Pa) = 1.47E-02

Melting point ($^{\circ}$ C) = 37

Reaction half-life in air (gaseous) = 16.6 hours (from AOP)

Reaction in all other compartments : negligible Equal emission rate of chemical in air, water and soil.

RESULTS:

Air (%): 4.050E-03 Water (%): 51.4 Soil (%): 48.6 Sediment (%): 0.03

Source

Rhodia Consumer Specialties LTD Oldbury, West Midlands

Test substance

Calculation performed on bis(2-chloroethyl) 2-chloroethylphosphonate

(BISCEP monomer).

Reliability

(2) valid with restrictions
Accepted calculation method

Flag

Critical study for SIDS endpoint

09.12.2003

Type : fugacity model level I

Media: other: air - water - soil - sedimentAir: % (Fugacity Model Level I)Water: 97.4 % (Fugacity Model Level I)Soil: 2.5 % (Fugacity Model Level II/III)Biota: % (Fugacity Model Level II/III)Soil: % (Fugacity Model Level II/III)

Method

other: calculation

Year

Fugacity model Level I, Trent University, Canada, V 2.11 (1999).

Based on: Mackay, Donald (1991), "Multimedia Environmental Models, The

Fugacity Approach".

Result

Method

INPUT DATA:

Molecular mass (g/mol) = 440.03 Data temperature (°C) = 25

Log Kow = 1.47

Water solubility (g/m3) = 24700 Vapour pressure (Pa) = 2.75E-06

Melting point ($^{\circ}$ C) = 90.27

RESULTS:

Air (%): 9.62E-07 Water (%): 97.4 Soil (%): 2.5 Sediment (%): 0.06

Suspended sediment(%): 0.002

Aerosols (%): 9.50E-06 Fish (%): 1.44E-04

Source

Rhodia Consumer Specialties LTD Oldbury, West Midlands

Test substance : Calculation performed on bis(2-chloroethyl)

[2-[[(2-chloroethoxy)(2-chloroethyl)phosphinyl]oxylethyl]phosphonate

(BISCEP dimer).

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

Accepted calculation method
Critical study for SIDS endpoint

09.12.2003

Flag

ld 6294-34-4 **Date** 25.08.2005

Type ; fugacity model level III

Media: other: air - water - soil - sedimentAir: % (Fugacity Model Level I)Water: % (Fugacity Model Level I)Soil: % (Fugacity Model Level I)Biota: % (Fugacity Model Level II/III)Soil: % (Fugacity Model Level II/III)

Method : other: calculation

Year

Method : Fugacity model Level III, Trent University, Canada, V 2.70 (2002).

Based on: Mackay, Donald (2001), "Multimedia Environmental Models, The

Fugacity Approach - Second Edition".

Result : INPUT DATA:

Default environment

Molecular mass (g/mol) = 440.03 Data temperature (°C) = 25

Log Kow = 1.47

Water solubility (g/m3) = 24 700 Vapour pressure (Pa) = 2.75E-06

Melting point ($^{\circ}$ C) = 90.27

Reaction half-life in air (gaseous) = 5.4 hours (from AOP)

Reaction in all other compartments : negligible Equal emission rate of chemical in air, water and soil.

RESULTS:

Air (%): 8.09E-06 Water (%): 56.5 Soil (%): 43.5 Sediment (%): 0.03

Source : Rhodia Consumer Specialties LTD Oldbury, West Midlands

Test substance : Calculation performed on bis(2-chloroethyl)

[2-[[(2-chloroethoxy)(2-chloroethyl)phosphinyl]oxy]ethyl]phosphonate

(BISCEP dimer).

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

Accepted calculation method Critical study for SIDS endpoint

Flag 09.12.2003

3.3.2 DISTRIBUTION

3.4 MODE OF DEGRADATION IN ACTUAL USE

3.5 BIODEGRADATION

Type : aerobic

Inoculum : domestic sewage

Concentration : 9.3 mg/l related to Test substance

18.7 mg/l related to Test substance

Contact time : 20 day(s)

Degradation : = 6 (±) % after 20 day(s)

Result : under test conditions no biodegradation observed

Kinetic of testsubst. : 5 day(s) < 2 %

10 day(s) = 5 % 15 day(s) = 5 % 20 day(s) = 6 %

ld 6294-34-4 Date 25.08.2005

Control substance

other: glucose and glucose/glutamic acid

Kinetic

% %

Deg. product

Method Year **GLP**

other 1980 no

Test substance

Method

Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. 14th Ed.

APHA pp 543-554 (1976).

Result

A COD value of 1.90 mg O2/mg test material was obtained.

The glucose/glutamic acid sample yielded 99.1% of theoretical oxygen

demand.

The % biodegradation were:

day 5: < 2% day 10:5% day 15 : 5% day 20:6%

BOD5/COD < 0.02

Source **Test condition** Rhodia Consumer Specialties LTD Oldbury, West Midlands

COD was determined using 2 μ l and 4 μ l samples of the test material. A

carbon standard yielded 100.9% of theoretical COD.

BOD was determined according to the standard procedure with the following

modification: the 5 d test period was extended to 20 d with periodic re-aeration to maintain acceptable DO concentrations (> 2 mg/l).

Test material was tested at two concentrations (2 μ l and 4 μ l per 300 ml test system volume) with four replicates being prepared for each concentration.

An apparent specific gravity of 1.4 was used for the calculation.

Test vessels were seeded with domestic sewage and seed controls were maintained throughout the 20 d test period to correct for oxygen uptake of

the seed.

A glucose control and glucose/glutamic acid control were prepared and were analysed after 5 d of incubation to check the activity of the seed and to check

for contamination of the BOD dilution water.

The test material, the control substances and the seed were added to the

standard mineral BOD dilution water.

DO determinations were made using a Yellow Springs oxygen electrode.

Manufactured product Antiblaze 78 (approx. 60 % BISCEP monomer / 40 % BISCEP dimer), ref. MCTR-15-79, Lot No. 0120930, Batch analysis not

available in the report.

Reliability

Test substance

(2) valid with restrictions

Meets generally accepted scientific method and is described in sufficient

Flag

Critical study for SIDS endpoint

25.08.2005

(1)

BOD5, COD OR BOD5/COD RATIO

3.7 **BIOACCUMULATION**

ADDITIONAL REMARKS 3.8

ld 6294-34-4

Date 25.08.2005

4.1 ACUTE/PROLONGED TOXICITY TO FISH

Type

: static

Species

: Lepomis macrochirus (Fish, fresh water)

Exposure period

: 96 hour(s) : mg/l

Unit NOEC LC50

: >= 100 : > 100

Limit test

. : r

Analytical monitoring Method Year : no : other : 1979

GLP Test substance

.

Method

Result

 Committee on Methods for Toxicity Tests with Aquatic Organisms, 1975, Methods for Acute Toxicity Tests with Fish, Macroinvertebrates and Amphibians. EPA-660/3-75-001.

: In the definitive test, no mortality was observed through the test period in all the vessels. Abnormal surfacing was observed at 48 h and thereafter in the solvent control and at each test material concentration. It is assumed that this abnormal behaviour is due to lower dissolved oxygen concentrations in

these vessels.

Source

Rhodia Consumer Specialties LTD Oldbury, West Midlands

Test condition

TEST ORGANISM:

Bluegill sunfish (Lepomis macrochirus Rafinesque) were obtained from a commercial hatchery and maintained in the UCES laboratory at 22°C. Mortality in the stock culture over a one month period was less than 2%. 48 h before starting the test, the fish were taken off feed, and no food was administered thereafter. Fish were selected at random from the stock culture and isolated in a jar of dilution water for 24 h acclimation before testing. In the definitive test, fish were approximately 8-10 months old, with a mean length of 40 (36-43) mm and a mean weight of 0.70 (0.50-0.91) g. Biological loading was 0.47 g/l.

DILUTION WATER:

Dilution water was obtained from a well on the site, treated with reverse osmosis water system and de-ionised. Dilution water was then reconstituted to a pH of 7.43, total hardness of 40 mg/l as CaCO3, total alkalinity of 29 mg/l as CaCO3 and specific conductance of 160 μ mhos/cm.

TEST SOLUTIONS:

A stock solution of the test material in reagent grade acetone was prepared by weight to a precision of 0.1 mg. Test concentrations were prepared by adding measured volumes of stock solution to dilution water in the test vessels and mixing thoroughly. Nominal test material concentrations were: 10.0, 18.0, 32.0, 56.0 and 100.0 mg/l. One test vessel was prepared for each test material concentration. An additional vessel with 100 % dilution water served as control, another vessel, with a solution of acetone in dilution water at the same concentration as in the highest test concentration, served as solvent control.

TEST DESIGN:

The definitive test was conducted in chemically clean glass jars, each containing 15 l of test solution and immersed in a constant temperature re-circulating water bath. Ten fish were introduced at random into each of the test, control and solvent control vessels.

At the beginning of the test and every 24 h thereafter, dissolved oxygen and pH in each vessel, and temperature in the water bath, were determined.

4. Ecotoxicity

Test substance

ld 6294-34-4 Date 25.08.2005

(2)

Mortality and any observable abnormal behaviour were recorded every 24 h.

Manufactured product Antiblaze 78 (approx. 60 % BISCEP monomer / 40 %

BISCEP dimer), ref. MCTR-15-79, Lot No. 0120930, Batch analysis not

available in the report.

Reliability (2) valid with restrictions

Meets generally accepted scientific method and is described in sufficient

Flag 22.07.2005

Critical study for SIDS endpoint

ACUTE TOXICITY TO AQUATIC INVERTEBRATES

Type static

Species Daphnia magna (Crustacea)

Exposure period 48 hour(s) Unit ma/l

NOEC = 180**EC50** = 240**Analytical monitoring** no Method other

Year 1979 **GLP** no **Test substance**

Method Committee on Methods for Toxicity Tests with Aquatic Organisms, 1975,

Methods for Acute Toxicity Tests with Fish, Macroinvertebrates and

Amphibians, EPA-660/3-75-009.

Result Due to lack of partial kill, the EC50 and 95% confidence limits were

> calculated by the binomial method: 24 h-EC50 = 240 (180-320) mg/l 48 h-EC50 = 240 (180-320) mg/l

The 48 h-NOEC was observed to be 180 mg/l.

Source Rhodia Consumer Specialties LTD Oldbury, West Midlands

Test condition TEST ORGANISM:

> Daphnia magna came from a UCES laboratory stock culture, the original population having been obtained from the EPA Environmental Research Laboratory in Duluth, Minnesota. 20 h before starting the test, adults with full brood chambers were isolated into UCES well water. Next morning the newly released instars were carefully removed with a wide bore pipette and transferred to a separate holding vessel. One hour before the test they were

fed, and no food was administered thereafter.

DILUTION WATER:

Dilution water was obtained from a well on the site. The water was vigorously aerated before use and determined by analysis to have a pH of 8.60, total hardness of 206 mg/l as CaCO3, total alkalinity of 135 mg/l as CaCO3 and specific conductance of 700 µmhos/cm.

TEST SOLUTIONS:

A primary stock solution of 400 mg/ml of the test material in reagent grade acetone was prepared by weight to a precision of 0.1 mg. Test concentrations were prepared by adding measured volumes of stock solution to dilution water in one-litre volumetric flasks and mixing thoroughly. Nominal test material concentrations were: 32, 56, 100 and 180 mg/l. Due to solubility limitations of the test material, two batches of the stock solution (400 mg/ml) and dilution water were combined in two 500 ml volumetric flasks, increasing the obtainable tested concentration to 320 mg/l. Two hundred mi of each concentration was decanted into each of four test beakers. Four beakers, as control, contained 200 ml each of 100 % dilution water, and four beakers, as solvent control, each contained 200 ml solution

ld 6294-34-4

Date 25.08.2005

of acetone in dilution water, at the same acetone level as in the highest test concentration.

TEST DESIGN:

The definitive test was conducted in 250 ml glass beakers, each containing 200 ml of test solution. Four test vessels were prepared for each test material concentration, for the control and the solvent control. Five organisms were introduced at random into each of the 20 test, 4 control and 4 solvent control beakers and held for the duration of the test in a refrigerator incubator at a constant temperature of 21°C.

At the beginning of the test and at 48 h, dissolved oxygen and pH were determined in each beaker. Mortality was recorded at 24 and 48 h.

The EC50 and its 95% confidence limits were determined for the 24 and 48 h exposure periods. Calculations were based on nominal concentrations of the test material. The NOEC was determined by observation at 48 h.

Test substance

Manufactured product Antiblaze 78 (approx. 60 % BISCEP monomer / 40 % BISCEP dimer), ref. MCTR-15-79, Lot No. 0120930, Batch analysis not

available in the report.

Reliability

(2) valid with restrictions

Meets generally accepted scientific method and is described in sufficient

Flag

Critical study for SIDS endpoint

22.07.2005

(3)

TOXICITY TO AQUATIC PLANTS E.G. ALGAE

Species

Selenastrum capricornutum (Algae)

Endpoint

other: overall growth, maximum specific growth rate, Maximum Standing

Crop, algal biomass, duration of lag phase

Exposure period

14 day(s) mg/l

NOEC

Unit

Year

: = 18

Limit test

Analytical monitoring Method

: no : other : 1980

GLP Test substance

no

Method

Miller W.E. et al., 1978, The Selenastrum capricornutum Printz Algal Assay Bottle Test, EPA-600/9-78-018.

Result

Effect on overall growth: reduced in 56 and 100 mg/l treatments Effect on maximum specific growth rate: reduced in 56 mg/l treatment Effect on MSC (cells/ml): reduced in 32, 56 and 100 mg/l treatments Effect on algal biomass: reduced in 56 and 100 mg/l treatments Effect on lag period: lengthened in 32, 56 and 100 mg/l treatments NOEC = 18 mg/l (relative to the solvent control on any of the above endpoints)

Raw data presented in the test report were used to calculate, by log Probit regression, the ErC50 with 95% confidence limits after 72 h and 96 h of exposition. Results expressed as nominal concentrations of the test material

72 h- ErC50 = 113 (64.1 - 200) mg/l 96 h- ErC50 = 73.5 (51.2 - 105) mg/l

Growth of the control cultures were exponential throughout the 96 h test

period.

are:

Source **Test condition** Rhodia Consumer Specialties LTD Oldbury, West Midlands

TEST ORGANISM:

Selenastrum capricornutum came from UCES stock cultures. Algal stocks

4. Ecotoxicity

ld 6294-34-4

Date 25.08.2005

were maintained in synthetic algal nutrient medium (Miller et al., 1978) in continuously shaken Erlenmeyer flasks (100 rpm) at $24 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C under continuous illumination. Transfers were made regularly into fresh medium to provide 7-day old cultures for assay inoculations.

TEST MEDIUM:

Synthetic algal medium according to Miller et al. (1978), passed through a 0.22 μ m porosity membrane filter into a sterile container.

TEST SOLUTIONS:

A 200 mg/ml stock solution of the test material in reagent grade N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) was prepared by weight to a precision of 0.1 mg. Appropriate volumes of stock solutions were added to measured volumes of algal medium to yield final test material concentrations of 10, 18, 32, 56 and 100 mg/l. The control was algal medium only. The solvent control contained an amount of DMF equivalent to the highest DMF concentration in any test treatment, i.e. 0.5 ml/l.

TEST DESIGN:

Test vessels were chemically clean sterile 250 ml Erlenmeyer flasks fitted with foam stoppers. After thorough mixing, 60 ml of each test solution was aseptically added to each of three replicate flasks. An algal inoculum was prepared by centrifuging and re-suspending twice a seven-day old stock culture in a 15 mg/l wash solution of NaHCO3. A 0.88 ml volume of cell suspension was aseptically added to 60 ml test solution in each flask, yielding a nominal inoculum concentration of 3000 cells/ml.

Test flasks were continuously agitated at 100 rpm under continuous cool white fluorescent illumination. Temperature, maintained at $24 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C, was recorded on counting days.

Cell counts were made with a hemacytometer on days 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 9, 11 and 14. Four counts per replicate were made each time.

Maximum standing crop (MSC) is defined as the maximum algal biomass (mg dry weight/l culture) attained during incubation. It is considered to have been reached when the rate of increase in biomass, as determined by cell counts, falls below 5% per day.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS:

Raw data from replicate flasks during the exposure period were subjected to two-way logarithmic analysis of variance (LOGANOVA) and to Duncan's (1955) new multiple range test to locate significant differences among treatment means. All differences were considered statistically significant at p<0.05.

Mean cell counts were used to calculate the maximum specific growth rate, defined as the highest specific growth rate occurring at any time during incubation, for each flask. The maximum specific growth rate for a set of replicate flasks, determined by averaging the values of the individual flasks, were subjected to one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and to Duncan's test. ANOVA and Duncan's test were applied to mean MSC and to mean dry weights of algal biomass.

Test substance

: Manufactured product Antiblaze 78 (approx. 60 % BISCEP monomer / 40 % BISCEP dimer), ref. MCTR-15-79, Lot No. 0120930, Batch analysis not available in the report.

Reliability

: (2) valid with restrictions

Meets generally accepted scientific method and is described in sufficient detail.

Flag 22.07.2005

: Critical study for SIDS endpoint

(4)

4.4 TOXICITY TO MICROORGANISMS E.G. BACTERIA

4. Ecotoxicity

ld 6294-34-4 **Date** 25.08.2005

4.5.1	CHRONIC T	UNIU	 O MION	

- 4.5.2 CHRONIC TOXICITY TO AQUATIC INVERTEBRATES
- 4.6.1 TOXICITY TO SEDIMENT DWELLING ORGANISMS
- 4.6.2 TOXICITY TO TERRESTRIAL PLANTS
- 4.6.3 TOXICITY TO SOIL DWELLING ORGANISMS
- 4.6.4 TOX. TO OTHER NON MAMM. TERR. SPECIES
- 4.7 BIOLOGICAL EFFECTS MONITORING
- 4.8 BIOTRANSFORMATION AND KINETICS
- 4.9 ADDITIONAL REMARKS

ld 6294-34-4 Date 25.08.2005

TOXICOKINETICS, METABOLISM AND DISTRIBUTION 5.0

5.1.1 ACUTE ORAL TOXICITY

Type

LD50

Value

= 580 mg/kg bw :

Species

:

Strain

Sprague-Dawley

Sex

male/female

Number of animals Vehicle

50

no data

Doses

290.2, 580.4, 870.6, 1160.8, 1451.0 mg/kg

Method Year

other 1977

GLP

no data

Test substance

Method

The test article was administered orally by intubation (gavage) to groups of fasted male and female rats. The animals were observed for mortality and signs of toxicity at 1, 3, 6, 24, 48 and 72 hours and daily thereafter for a total of 14 days. After 14 days, all surviving animals were killed, autopsied and observed for gross pathological organ changes.

Result

The mortality was as followed: 0/10 at 290.2 mg/kg, 5/10 at 580.4 mg/kg, 7/10 at 870.6 mg/kg, 7/10 at 1160.8 mg/kg, 10/10 at 1451.0 mg/kg. The test substance produced signs of decreased locomotor activity, ptosis, piloerection, respiratory depression, loss of righting reflex, ataxia, muscle spams, self-inflicted wounds and death. Normal body activity returned within eight days in all surviving animals. Autopsies revealed no gross

abnormalities.

The LD50 was determined to be 580.4 +/- 90.1 mg/kg bw.

Source

Rhodia Consumer Specialties LTD Oldbury, West Midlands

Test substance

Manufactured product Antiblaze 78, ref. MCTR-30-77, Lot No.0105730;

Batch analysis not available in the report.

Reliability

(2) valid with restrictions

Individual data were not provided in the report.

Flag

Critical study for SIDS endpoint

24.08.2005

(5)

Type

LD50

Value

= 810 mg/kg bw

Species

Strain Sex

Sprague-Dawley : male/female

Number of animals

100

Vehicle

no data

Doses

500 - 590 - 780 - 1000 - 1230 mg/kg bw

Method

other

Year **GLP**

1979 no data

Test substance

Method

The test article was administered intragastrically (gavage) to groups of fasted rats. Following a series of range finding doses, a final series of five dose levels were administered to rats (twenty rats per dose levels, ten of each sex). Observations were carried out daily over a 14-day period post

Result

: Clinical signs observed following treatment included labored breathing,

ld 6294-34-4

Date 25.08.2005

depressed activity, clonic convulsions, prostration, clear oral discharge, perianal discharge, ocular discharge, hunching, ataxia and hyperactivity.

The mortality was as follows: - 500 mg/kg: 0/10 animals.

- 590 mg/kg : 0/10 male, 7/10 females.
- 780 mg/kg : 1/10 male, 4/10 females.
- 1000 mg/kg : 9/10 males, 5/10 females.
- 1230 mg/kg : 9/10 males, 10/10 females.

The calculated acute oral LD50 value for combined sexes was 810 +/- 80

mg/kg body weight.

Source

Rhodia Consumer Specialties LTD Oldbury, West Midlands

Test substance

Manufactured product Antiblaze 78, Ref. MCTR-243-79; Lot No. 12187906;

Batch analysis not available in the report.

Reliability

: (2) valid with restrictions

- Time of appearance and duration of symptoms were not specified in the

report.

- The calculation method to determine the LD50 was not specified in the

report.

25.08.2005

(6)

5.1.2 ACUTE INHALATION TOXICITY

5.1.3 ACUTE DERMAL TOXICITY

5.1.4 ACUTE TOXICITY, OTHER ROUTES

5.2.1 SKIN IRRITATION

5.2.2 EYE IRRITATION

5.3 SENSITIZATION

5.4 REPEATED DOSE TOXICITY

Type : Sub-chronic

Species : rat

Sex : male/female

Strain : other: Crl:COBS(R)CD(R)(SD)BR

Route of admin. : gavage
Exposure period : 90 days
Frequency of treatm. : daily
Post exposure period : none.

Doses : 100, 200, 500 g/kg bw

Control group : yes
Method : other
Year : 1980
GLP : no data

Test substance

Method : Though it is not specified in the report, the method followed was in accordance with the main requirements of the OECD guideline 408.

ld 6294-34-4

Date 25.08.2005

A preliminary study was performed to determinate the appropriate dose levels to be administered to the animals (see study #008-001 (Company # MCTR-307-79)).

Groups of sixty rats (thirty males + thirty females per group) were administered the test material daily by gavage at levels of 100, 200 and 500 mg/kg. The test material was undiluted and administered at volumes of 0.071 ml/kg (low dose), 0.142 ml/kg (mid-dose) and 0.355 ml/kg (high dose). A control group of sixty rats (thirty males + thirty females) received 0.355 ml/kg of tap water. The animals were treated for 3 months. An interim sacrifice after one month was included, on the first ten males and ten females of each group, on days 29 and 30 of the study. All remaining animals were sacrificed on days 92 and 93.

Six of sixty rats of the high dose group died before the interim sacrifice and eight of thirty-four rats of the same group died between the interim and final sacrifice. No rats of the mid-dose, low dose or control groups died.

The predominant toxic effect of the oral administration of the test material was characteristic intermittent convulsions. They were dose related in severity, incidence and duration. The convulsive activity disappeared in four to five hours in even the most severe cases so that the rats appeared completely normal in this respect well before the next daily dose. No histologic lesion was found to account for this effect.

Deaths occurred only in the high dose group (14/60) but no consistent pattern of lesions was seen in these rats. For seven of these rats, death was attributed directly to the convulsions, probably through suffocation. Based on lymphocytolysis in their thymus, four others of these rats are considered to have died from a generalised stress syndrome. The remaining three rats had lungs lesions that indicated regurgitation and inspiration of stomach contents or intrapulmonary dosing.

Liver relative weights (organ weight/body weight) were statistically higher in the middle and high dose females in comparison with the controls and kidneys relative weights were statistically higher in the middle and high dose animals (males and females) in comparison with the controls. These effects are considered to be due to metabolism of the test material.

Slight mineralisation at the corticomedullary junction in the kidneys was seen after one and three months of dosing in 4/20, 4/20, 6/20 and 9/20 females of the control, low, middle and high dose groups respectively but not in any of the males. In this study it is considered to be related to a somewhat less than optimal balance of minerals in the animals diet in spite of the fact that only the highest quality feed was used. Moreover, dehydration may have been induced by the treatment-related convulsions which in turn could have caused the slight increase in mineralisation.

Mineralisation of the thalamus (brain) in five high dose and one middle dose rats and not in any control or low dose rats is considered to be treatment related. However the low incidence suggests that it may be a secondary effect rather than the primary site of activity.

The incidence of the natural disease chronic pericarditis, 0/60, 1/60, 1/60 and 6/60 in the control, low, middle and high dose groups respectively, indicates a relationship to treatment. However, the low incidence even in the high dose group suggests this is not a direct effect.

An hypospermatogenesis observed in three high dose rats had the same histologic appearance as is commonly seen in natural disease. A relationship with treatment can not be discarded but this is not a significant toxic effect in comparison to the convulsions observed at the lower middle dose.

Conclusion:

The predominant toxic effect of the oral administration of the test material was dose-related clonic convulsions in the 200 and 500 mg/kg dose groups. No treatment related toxic change was seen in the 100 mg/kg dose group except some convulsive activity. No NOEL or NOAEL was established for

Result

ld 6294-34-4

Date 25.08.2005

(7)

this study.

Source

Rhodia Consumer Specialties LTD Oldbury, West Midlands

Manufactured product Antiblaze 78, ref. MCTR-306-79, Sample No.

12127901, Batch analysis not available in the report.

Reliability

Test substance

: (2) valid with restrictions

- Some requirements of the OECD guideline 408 were not followed (urea and creatinin were not measured in blood analyses, uterus, thymus and

spleen were not weighted at necrospy).

- Administered volumes were low as no vehicle was used.

Flag

Critical study for SIDS endpoint

24.08.2005

Type

Sub-chronic

Species

rat

Sex Strain male/female

Route of admin.

other: Crl:COBS(R)CD(R)(SD)BR gavage

Exposure period Frequency of treatm.

: 3 weeks. : Daily.

Post exposure period **Doses**

: None.

Control group

125, 250, 500, 1000 mg/kg bw. other: tap water.

Method Year

other 1980

GLP Test substance no data

Method

The method was adequate to determine the appropriate dose levels for the

final 90-day study.

Five male and five female rats per dose levels were administered the test material by gavage at 0, 125, 250, 500 and 1000 mg/kg/d for three weeks (21 consecutive days). The test material was administered undiluted. Dose volumes varied with the dose levels. Controls received tap water by gavage

at the largest treatment dosing volume.

Result

Clonic convulsions, hypoactivity and salivation were present in a dose related manner and two females at 500 and two at 1000 mg/kg/d did not survive the study. No convulsions occurred at 125 mg/kg/d but salivation

was frequent and one incidence of hypoactivity was observed.

Body weight and food consumption values were similar for the control and

treated groups.

No apparent compound related lesions were present at gross necropsy but organ weight analysis revealed a dose related increase in liver weights which was statistically significant for the 500 mg/kg/d males and the 500 and

1000 mg/kg/d females.

On the basis of these results, the dose levels for the 90-day study were

chosen to be 0, 100, 200 and 500 mg/kg/d.

Test substance

Rhodia Consumer Specialties LTD Oldbury, West Midlands

Manufactured product Antiblaze 78, ref. MCTR-307-79, Batch analysis not

available in the report.

Reliability

Source

(2) valid with restrictions

- Some individual data are not available.

- Dose volumes were not indicated in the report.

24.08.2005

(8)

Type

Sub-chronic

Species

rabbit

Sex

male/female

Strain

New Zealand white

Route of admin. **Exposure period**

dermai 21 days

Frequency of treatm. : 6 hours per day

ld 6294-34-4

Date 25.08.2005

Post exposure period

: None

Doses

: 1000, 2500, 5000 mg/kg

Control group Method other: the control group received water.
other

Year GLP : 1979 : no data

Test substance

.

Method Result : No method was specified in the report.

: There was no death during the study.

There were no statistical differences in body weight gain between test and control animals. However the animals of the high group lost weight from day 7 onwards. This probably reflects the fact that these animals did not eat or drink normally during the study. By the end of the study these animals were emaciated. The treated animals tended to be lethargic and less responsive to outside stimuli than were the controls.

The mean body weight of animals of the three other groups was slightly lower on day 22 (fasted weight) than on day 14.

The hematology analyses showed that only the poly's of female rabbits in the high dose were significantly higher than the controls.

Blood levels of serum glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase (SGOT) and serum glutamic pyruvic transaminase (SGPT) were elevated in the high dose group, but because of the small number of animals and wide variation, not significantly different from the other groups. Other chemistry values were more similar to the controls and expected values for normal rabbits.

The spleen of males in the high and medium level groups were lighter than those of controls as was also noted visually at the gross necropsy. The weights of the livers relative to the body weights were elevated in the high dose group.

The histology observations showed changes in the liver, kidneys and skin: within the liver, minimal to mild cytoplasmic vacuolisation was present within hepatocytes of all of the high and intermediate dose animals, in four of the six low dose animals and in only one of the controls. It is a common change probably due to the test material.

Minimal to mild cytoplasmic vacuolisation occurred in the convoluted tubules of the kidneys of six high dose rabbits. This change was probably due to the administration of the test material..

Minimal to mild hyperkeratosis was present in the treated skin of five high dose rabbits, four intermediate dose rabbits, four low dose rabbits and in one control animal. This lesion was suggested to be the result of exposure to the test material. The laboratory pathologist considered that these three lesions probably represented mild reversible injury.

Because of the oily nature of the test material, it was impossible to clean all the material from the rabbit after the designated daily exposure period. Therefore all high dose group rabbits were entirely contaminated and this contamination decreased with the dose in the other treated groups. Therefore it is difficult to discern whether the observed effects are purely due to dermal exposure or a combination of dermal and oral exposure due to preening.

Conclusion: Significant lesions which appeared to be related to the test material were present in the liver, kidneys and treated skin. These lesions were of low severity and probably represented mild reversible injury.

Source Test substance : Rhodia Consumer Specialties LTD Oldbury, West Midlands

: Manufactured product Antiblaze 78, ref. MCTR-138-78, Lot No. 0629840, Batch analysis not available in the report.

Reliability

: (2) valid with restrictions

- Animals grouped consisted in 3 males and 3 females instead of Five males and five females as recommended by the OECD guideline 410.
- Some acclimatisation and housing conditions were not specified in the report.
- Clotting potential was no measured, and some biochemistry analyses were

ld 6294-34-4

Date 25.08.2005

not performed (calcium, phosphorus, albumen, blood creatinin.

- Due to the nature of the test material, it was not possible to ensure that no

ingestion of the test material occurred.

22.08.2005

(9)

5.5 GENETIC TOXICITY 'IN VITRO'

Type

other: gene mutation assay with Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Salmonella

typhimurium

System of testing

Salmonella typhimurium strains TA1535, TA1537, TA1538, TA98, TA100

and Saccharomyces cerevisiae strain D4.

Test concentration

 $0.005, 0.05, 0.5, 5 \mu$ l/plate

Cycotoxic concentr.

 $> 5 \mu l$ / plate

Metabolic activation Result

with and without negative

Method

other: not specified

Year

1976

GLP

no data

Test substance

Method

Five strains of Salmonella typhimurium and one strain of Saccharomyces cerevisiae were used to evaluate the mutagenic activity of the test material, with and without metabolic activation following a plate test method. Negative (solvent) and positive controls were included in the experiment.

Approximately 10E9 cells from a log phase culture of each indicator strain were added to test tubes containing 2.0 ml of molten agar supplemented with biotin and a trace of histidine. For tests without metabolic activation, the four dose levels of the test compound were added to the contents of the appropriate tubes and poured over the surfaces of selective agar plates. For tests with metabolic activation, the activation system (prepared from liver of Sprague Dawley rats) and required co-factors were added to the overlay tubes. Four dose levels of the test chemical were added to the appropriate tubes, which were then mixed and the contents poured over the surface of a minimal agar (selective medium) plate and allowed to solidify.

The plates were incubated for 48 to 72 hours at 37°C and scored for the number of colonies growing on each plate. Positive and solvent controls

were run with each assay (with and without activation).

Result

The results of the test were negative in both the absence or presence of a

metabolic activation system.

Source

Rhodia Consumer Specialties LTD Oldbury, West Midlands

Manufactured product Antiblaze 78, ref. MCTR-21-76, Sample No.

12127901, Batch analysis not available in the report.

Reliability

Test substance

(2) valid with restrictions

- The test was not repeated. - Plates were not done in triplicates.

- The solvent was not indicated in the report.

Flag

Critical study for SIDS endpoint

22.08.2005

(10)

System of testing Test concentration Salmonella typhimurium strains TA98, TA100, TA1535, TA1537, TA1538 0.2, 1.0, 5.0, 10.0, 20.0 µl/plate

Cycotoxic concentr.

10 μl/plate

Metabolic activation Result

with and without negative

Method other Year 1979 **GLP** yes

Test substance

ld 6294-34-4 Date 25.08.2005

Method

The protocol followed was a modification of that described by Ames, B. N., et al. "Methods for detecting carcinogens and mutagens with the Salmonella/mammalian-microsome mutagenicity test", Mutation Research 31:347-364, 1975.

A preliminary toxicity determination was performed with the TA100 strain without metabolic activation, at dose levels of 0.003, 0.01, 0.03, 0.1, 0.3, 1.0, 3.1, 10 μ l/plate (and a control plate with DMSO) and resulted in a slight cytotoxicity at the highest dose level showed by a reduced viable count. There was no reduction in revertants per plate at any dose level. No precipitate was observed.

The main test was then performed with all strains at dose levels of 0.2, 1.0, 5.0, 10.0 and 20.0 with and without metabolic activation, and with solvent control (DMSO) and positive controls. All plates were done in triplicate.

Result

Toxicity was observed at the highest dose level, 20 μ l/plate, in all strains, showed by a decrease in the number of revertants per plate. No significant increase of the revertants per plate was observed, in any of the strains, with or without metabolic activation.

Source

Rhodia Consumer Specialties LTD Oldbury, West Midlands

Test substance

Bis(2CE)2CE phosphonate (65% Bis(2CE)2CE phosphonate monomer, 35% phosphonic acid dimer, 0.3% ethylene dichloride), ref. MCTR-233-79, Lot No. 0917940, Batch analysis not available in the report.

Reliability

(2) valid with restrictions

The test was not repeated.

Flag

Critical study for SIDS endpoint

22.08.2005

(11)

Type

Mouse lymphoma assay

System of testing

L5178Y Mouse lymphoma cells

Test concentration

0.13 to 5.6 μ l/ml without metabolic activation and 0.013 to 1.00 μ l/ml with

metabolic activation.

Cycotoxic concentr.

Metabolic activation

10 μ l/ml (-S9) and 1 μ l/ml (+S9) with and without

Result Method

negative

other

Year

1980

GLP

ves

Test substance

Method

The experimental protocol was a modification of that described by Clive D. and Spector J.F.S. "Laboratory procedure for assessing specific locus mutations at the TK locus in cultured L5178Y Mouse Lymphoma cells", Mutation Research 31:17-29, 1975.

An initial toxicity test was conducted at dose levels of 0.001, 0.01, 1.0, 10 and 100 µL /ml, with and without metabolic activation. The toxicity was almost complete (almost no growth) from 10.0 µl/ml onwards without metabolic activation and from 1.0 μ l/ml onwards with metabolic activation. On the basis of these results the dose levels for the main test were:

- 0.13 to 5.6 μ l/ml without metabolic activation. - 0.013 to 1.00 µl/ml with metabolic activation.

The cells were exposed to the test material for a four-hour period, then washed, re-suspended and incubated for the expression period.

After the three-day expression period, eight cultures without activation and ten cultures with activation were selected for cloning based on their degree of toxicity, i.e. exhibiting from approximately 5 to 90% of growth inhibition during the expression period:

- 0.13, 0.18, 0.24, 0.32, 0.42, 0.56, 0.75, 1.00 μ l/ml without metabolic activation.

- 0.075, 0.10, 0.13, 0.18, 0.24, 0.32, 0.42, 0.56, 0.75, 1.00 μ l/ml with

ld 6294-34-4

Date 25.08.2005

metabolic activation.

Six plates per test material concentration were prepared, three of which were done with selective medium to reveal the mutant cells and three others on non-selective medium to evaluate the viability. After a ten-day incubation period, the plates were scored for the total number of colonies per plate.

Positive and negative controls were added to the experiments.

The total growth was 83 to 134% of the control for the cultures treated Result

without metabolic activation and 32 to 131% of the controls for cultures

treated with metabolic activation.

Cultures with and without metabolic activation showed no increase in the

mutant frequency in comparison with the negative controls.

Source Rhodia Consumer Specialties LTD Oldbury, West Midlands

Bis(2CE)2CE phosphonate (65% Bis(2CE)2CE phosphonate monomer,

35% phosphonic acid dimer, 0.3% ethylene dichloride), ref. MCTR-234-79,

Lot No. 0917940, Batch analysis not available in the report.

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

- The test was not repeated.

- The results mention data on historical controls but they are not available in

- All cutures at the lowest dose level and half of the cultures at the following dose level (0.18 μ l/ml) were lost (in both cases, without metabolic activation).

No explanation was provided in the report.

Flag

22.08.2005

Test substance

: Critical study for SIDS endpoint

(12)

Type

System of testing Test concentration Mouse lymphoma assay

with and without

L5178Y Mouse lymphoma cells.

 $0.16, 0.32, 0.65, 1.25, 1.88, 2.50 \mu$ /ml without activation and 0.32, 0.65.

1.25, 1.88, 2.50, 5.00 μ l/ml with activation. 2.50 μ l/ml (-S9) and 5.00 μ l/ml (+S9).

Cycotoxic concentr.

Metabolic activation

Result Method Year

GI P

negative

other 1977

no data

Test substance

Method

The procedure used was a modification of that reported by Clive and Spector (Mutation Research, 31:17-29, 1975).

The solvent used was DMSO. The solubility, toxicity and doses were determined prior to screening. The cells were exposed to a wide range of the test substance concentrations in complete growth medium. Toxicity was measured as loss in growth potential of the cells induced by a five-hour exposure to the test material followed by a 72 hours expression period in

growth medium. Six dose levels were selected.

Prior to each treatment, cells were cleansed of spontaneous TK-/-.

The test compound was then added to the cleansed cells in growth medium at the predetermined doses for five hours. In the activation assay, the

metabolic activation system was added to the growth medium.

Then the cells were washed and allowed to express in growth medium for three days. At the end of this expression period, the mutant cells were detected by cloning the cells in the selection medium for ten days. Surviving cell populations were determined by plating diluted aliquots in non selective growth medium.

Negative and positive controls were added to the assays.

Result

There was no dose-related increase or other patterns indicative of mutagenesis in either the series with metabolic activation or the series without metabolic activation, even though considerable toxicity was achieved at the highest levels.

The test compound did not induce mutagenic activity at the TK locus in L5178Y mouse lymphoma cells.

ld 6294-34-4

Date 25.08.2005

Source

: Rhodia Consumer Specialties LTD Oldbury, West Midlands

Test substance

Manufactured product Antiblaze 78, ref. MCTR-30-77, Lot No. 015073000,

Batch analysis not available in the report.

Reliability

(2) valid with restrictions

The test was not repeated.

Flag 22.08.2005 : Critical study for SIDS endpoint

(13)

GENETIC TOXICITY 'IN VIVO' 5.6

CARCINOGENICITY 5.7

5.8.1 TOXICITY TO FERTILITY

5.8.2 DEVELOPMENTAL TOXICITY/TERATOGENICITY

Species

Sex

: rat

Strain

: female : other: Charles River COBS CD

Route of admin.

: gavage

Exposure period Frequency of treatm. : From day 6 to day 19 of gestation : Single daily dose

Duration of test

: Surviving animals were sacrificed on day 20 of gestation.

Doses

100, 400, 600 mg/kg bw/day

Control group

other: the control group received distilled water. negative

Result Method Year GLP

other 1981

Test substance

yes

Method

Although it is not specified in the report, the study was performed according to a method comparable to the OECD guideline 414.

The study used four groups of twenty-five female rats that were mated with males of the same strain and source. The day that evidence of mating was detected was designated day 0 of gestation.

The test substance was administered orally by gavage, as a single dose daily on days 6 through 19 of gestation, undiluted, at dose levels of 100, 400 and 600 mg/kg bw/day at total dosage volumes of 0.071, 0.284 and 0.426 ml/kg bw/day respectively. The control group received distilled water on a

comparable regimen at a volume of 0.426 ml/kg bw/day.

Females not surviving to scheduled sacrifice were necropsied in an attempt to determine the cause of death. The normally developing implantations

from these dams were examined to the fullest possible extent. All surviving animals on gestation day 20 were sacrificed for gross

examination as well as caesarean sections.

Result

Seven deaths occurred in the 600 mg/kg group between days 9 and 13 of gestation. The cause of death could not be determined for any of the dams. No abnormalities were noted among the normally developing implantations in any of these dams. Survival was 100% in the 100 and 400 mg/kg groups and in the control group.

The number of gravid females were 22/25, 25/25, 23/25 and 18/25 respectively in the control group, 100, 400 and 600 mg/kg groups. Yellow and orange staining (primarily of the limbs and facial regions) was

ld 6294-34-4

Date 25.08.2005

observed in eleven animals in the 600 mg/kg group, two animals in the 400 mg/kg group and one animal in the 100 mg/kg group. No staining was observed in the control group. Hair loss (primarily of the head, limbs and posterior regions) was observed in fifteen animals in the 600 mg/kg group, seven animals in the 400 mg/kg group and in two animals each in the 100 mg/kg group and control group. Lethargic behaviour was observed in seven animals in the 600 mg/kg group and one animal each in the 400 and 100 mg/kg groups. Additional observations found only in the 600 mg/kg group included two animals with laboured breathing and red or black anogenital staining (each occurred once). At caesarean sections, one animal of the control group had hydrometra and one animal of the 400 mg/kg group had hydronephrosis. All other animals were found to be internally normal. Mean maternal body weight gains (gestation days 6 to 20) and mean adjusted (exclusive of the mean gravid uterus weight) body weight gains (gestation days 0 to 20) in all treated groups were comparable to the control group.

The mean number of viable foetuses per dam was significantly lower in the 600 mg/kg group when compared with the control group. This was attributed largely to an increase in mean post-implantation loss, which was due primarily to one animal with early resorptions only. There were no biologically meaningful or statistically significant differences in mean foetal body weights or foetal sex distribution in any treatment group or in the mean numbers of corpora lutea, total implantations, early resorptions, late resorptions or viable foetuses in the 100 or 400 mg/kg groups when compared to the control group. Non viable foetuses were not observed in any group.

Moreover, there were no biologically meaningful or statistically significant differences in the number of litters with malformations in any of the treated groups when compared with the control group. No malformations occurred in the 600 mg/kg group.

The developmental and genetic variations observed in the treated groups were also comparable to the control group.

Conclusion: treatment with the test material did not produce a teratogenic response when administered orally to pregnant rats at a dosage level of 600 ma/ka/day or less.

The NOAEL for developmental effects can be set at 600 mg/kg and the

NOAEL for maternal effect at 400 mg/kg.

Source Test substance Rhodia Consumer Specialties LTD Oldbury, West Midlands

Manufactured product Antibiaze 78, ref. MCTR-301-79, Sample No.

12127901, Batch analysis not available in the report.

Reliability

(2) valid with restrictions

- Administered volumes were low as no vehicle was used.

- Some results were lost by the laboratory : observations of eight foetuses in one litter at the high dose level and six foetuses in one litter at the low dose

(14)

Flag

Critical study for SIDS endpoint

22.08.2005

: rat female

Species Sex Strain

other: Charles River COBS CD

Route of admin.

gavage

Exposure period

From day 6 to day 19 of gestation.

Frequency of treatm.

Single daily dose.

Duration of test

Surviving animals were sacrificed on day 20 of gestation. 120, 250, 500, 1000, 2000 mg/kg bw/day.

Doses

Control group

other: the control group received distilled water. Negative.

Result Method Year **GLP**

other 1980 yes

ld 6294-34-4

Date 25.08.2005

Test substance

:

Method

 This study was a preliminary study to determine appropriate dose levels for a teratology study (see report M3010-79)

The study used six groups of five female rats that were mated with males of the same strain. The day that evidence of mating was detected was designated day 0 of gestation.

The test substance was administered orally by gavage, as a single dose daily on days 6 through 19 of gestation, undiluted, at dose levels of 120, 250, 500, 1000 and 2000 mg/kg bw/day at total dosage volumes of 0.085, 0.177, 0.355, 0.709 and 1.418 ml/kg bw respectively. The control group received distilled water on a comparable regimen at a volume of 10 ml/kg bw/day. Females not surviving to scheduled sacrifice were necropsied in an attempt to determine the cause of death. All surviving animals on gestation day 20 were sacrificed and the number and location of viable and non viable foetuses, early and late resorptions and the number of total implantations and corpora lutea were recorded. The abdominal and thoracic cavities and organs of the dams were examined for grossly evident morphological changes.

Result

The number of gravid females were 4/5, 5/5, 5/5, 3/5, 5/5 and 5/5 respectively in the control group, 120, 250, 500, 1000 and 2000 mg/kg groups.

Conjunctivis was noted among rats in the control, 120, 250 and 500 mg/kg groups. There were no biologically meaningful differences in the appearance or behaviour of rats in the 120 or 250 mg/kg groups when compared to the control group. In the 500 mg/kg group, staining of the anogenital area was noted in one rat between days 9 and 15 of gestation and rattled breathing was noted in three rats within the last week of gestation (two of these also had milky urine). Convulsions and matting and staining of the anogenital area were observed in several of the rats prior to the scheduled sacrifice in the 1000 and 2000 mg/kg groups. An oral discharge was noted in two rats in the 1000 mg/kg group prior to death.

All rats in the 1000 and 2000 mg/kg groups died. These deaths occurred between gestation days 7 and 10 in the 1000 mg/kg dosage group and on gestation day 7 in the 2000 mg/kg group. Causes of death could not be determined for any of these animals at necropsy. Reddened intestinal and/or stomach mucosa were noted at necropsy in four rats in the 1000 mg/kg group and in four rats in the 2000 mg/kg group.

Hydronephrosis was noted in two rats, one in the 250 mg/kg group and one in the 2000 mg/kg group. Pitted kidneys were observed in two rats, one in the control group and one in the 500 mg/kg group.

There were no biologically meaningful differences in mean maternal body weight gain in the 120, 250 and 500 mg/kg groups in comparison with the control group. The body weight gains of the dams in 1000 and 2000 mg/kg was comparable to that of the controls from days 0 to 6. Only one animal was weighted afterwards as it died on day 10, after the next weighing session (day 9). This animal showed a severe body weight loss. The other animals died between days 7 and 9 and were not weighted.

There were no biologically meaningful differences in the mean number of viable foetuses, postimplantation loss (early or late resorptions), total implantations or corpora lutea in the 120, 250, or 500 mg/kg groups when compared to the control group means. A slight decrease in the mean number of total implantations and viable foetuses in the 120 mg/kg group was due to one dam with one implantation only.

Conclusion: A dose level of 1000 mg/kg was considered excessive for a teratology study. No developmental toxicity was observed at 120, 250 and 500 mg/kg. No developmental data are available for the two highest groups (all animals died) except that all females were gravid.

Source Test substance

- Rhodia Consumer Specialties LTD Oldbury, West Midlands
- Manufactured product Antiblaze 78; Ref. MCTR-243-79; Lot No. 12127901;

ld 6294-34-4

Date 25.08.2005

Reliability

Batch analysis not available in the report.

: (2) valid with restrictions

- No individual data are available for clinical and gross examinations in the

report.

- Administered volumes were low as no vehicle was used.

24.08.2005

(15)

5.8.3 TOXICITY TO REPRODUCTION, OTHER STUDIES

5.9 SPECIFIC INVESTIGATIONS

5.10 EXPOSURE EXPERIENCE

5.11 ADDITIONAL REMARKS

6. Analyt. Meth. for Detection and Identification

ld 6294-34-4 **Date** 25.08.2005

6.1 ANALYTICAL METHODS

6.2 DETECTION AND IDENTIFICATION

7. Eff. Against Target Org. and Intended Uses

ld 6294-34-4 **Date** 25.08.2005

- 7.1 MEDINGTION MERCHANISM CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE
- 7.2 EFFECTS ON ORGANISMS TO BE CONTROLLED
- 7.3 ORGANISMS TO BE PROTECTED
- 7.4 () USER* ()
- 7.5 RESISTANCE

8. Meas. Nec. to Prot. Man, Animals, Environment

ld 6294-34-4 **Date** 25.08,2005

8.1	METHODS	HANDLI!	NG AND	STORING

- 8.2 FIRE GUIDANCE
- 8.3 EMERGENCY MEASURES
- 8.4 POSSIB. OF RENDERING SUBST. HARMLESS
- 8.5 WASTE MANAGEMENT
- 8.6 SIDE-EFFECTS DETECTION
- 8.7 SUBSTANCE REGISTERED AS DANGEROUS FOR GROUND WATER
- 8.8 REACTIVITY TOWARDS CONTAINER MATERIAL

9. References

ld 6294-34-4

Date 25.08,2005

- (1) GEZO, T.A., 1980, "Biochemical and Chemical Oxygen Demand of MCTR-15-79", Union Carbide Environmental Services, UCES project No. 11506-05, Company report number M152-79/M153-79, Rhodia internal report (unpublished report).
- (2) VILKAS A.G., 1979, "The Acute Toxicity of MCTR-15-79 to the Bluegill Sunfish Lepomis macrochirus Rafinesque", Union Carbide Environmental Services, UCES project No. 11506-05-09, Company report number M150-79, Rhodia internal report (unpublished report).
- VILKAS A.G., 1979, "The Acute Toxicity of MCTR-15-79 to the Water Flea Daphnia magna Straus", Union Carbide Environmental Services, UCES project No. 11506-05-10, Company report number M151-79, Rhodia internal report (unpublished report).
- (4) VILKAS A.G., 1980, "The Toxicity of MCTR-15-79 to Selenastrum capricornutum Printz", Union Carbide Environmental Services, UCES project No. 11506-05-11, Company report number M154-79, Rhodia internal report (unpublished report).
- (5) Anonymous 1977, "Report on oral LD50 in rats", Cannon Laboratories, Inc., Laboratory report number 7E-4924, Company report number M304-77, Rhodia internal report (unpublished report).
- (6) Anonymous 1980, "Acute oral LD50 of Bis(2-chloroethyl)2-chloroethyl phosphonate in Sprague-Dawley rats after a single exposure", Mobil Environmental and Health Science Laboratory, Study number MCTR-243-79, Company report number M2430-79, Rhodia internal report (unpublished report).
- (7) Anonymous 1980, "Subchronic oral toxicity study in rats", Toxicity Research Laboratories Ltd., Laboratory report number 008-002, Company report number M3060-79, Rhodia internal report (unpublished report).
- (8) Anonymous 1980, "Oral range finding toxicity study in rats", Toxicity Research Laboratories Ltd., Laboratory report number 008-001, Company report number M3070-79, Rhodia internal report (unpublished report).
- (9) Anonymous, 1979 "21-Day Dermal Toxicity of MCTR-138-78 in Rabbits", Springborn Institute For Bioresearch Inc., Project Number 3046.24, Company report number M1384-78, Rhodia internal report (unpublished report).
- (10) Anonymous 1976, "Mutagenicity evaluation of MCTR-21-76", Litton Bionetics Inc., Project Number 2547, Company report number M211-76, Rhodia internal report (unpublished report).
- (11) Haworth S.R. 1979, "Salmonella/Mammalian-Microsome Plate Incorporation Mutagenesis Assay", EG&G Mason Research Institute, Study Number 009-660-376-1, Company report number M2330-79, Rhodia internal report (unpublished report).
- Kirby P.E. 1980, "Evaluation of test article MCTR-234-79 for mutagenic potential employing the L5178Y TK+/- Mutagenesis assay", EG&G Mason Research Institute, Study Number 009-660-382-7, Company report number M2340-79, Rhodia internal report (unpublished report).
- (13) Anonymous 1977, "Mutagenicity evaluation of MCTR-30-77", Litton Bionetics Inc., Project Number 2684, Company report number M303-77, Rhodia internal report (unpublished report).
- (14) Anonymous 1981, "Teratology study in rats (MCTR-301-79)", International Research and Development Corporation, Laboratory report number 450-023, Company report number M3010-79, Rhodia internal report (unpublished report).

9. References

ld 6294-34-4 **Date** 25.08.2005

(15) Anonymous - 1980, "Pilot teratology study in rats (MCTR-308-79)", International Research and Development Corporation, Laboratory report number 450-022, Company report number M3080-79, Rhodia internal report (unpublished report).

10. Summary and Evaluation

ld 6294-34-4 **Date** 25.08.2005

10.1 END POINT SUMMARY

Chapter

: 1.8 Regulatory Measures

Source 28.01.2005

: Rhodia Consumer Specialties LTD Oldbury, West Midlands

10.2 HAZARD SUMMARY

Chapter

: General Substance Information

Source 28.01.2005

: Rhodia Consumer Specialties LTD Oldbury, West Midlands

10.3 RISK ASSESSMENT